Page 1

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C.

In re:

Town of Wayland

:NPDES Appeal

Wastewater Management

:Nos. 08-26 &

:08-27

Permit No. MA0039853

Washington, D.C. Wednesday, May 6, 2009

The following teleconference was held, pursuant to notice, before the Honorable Judge Charles Sheehan, at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1201 Constitution Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20004, before Terri L. Hamilton, of Capital Reporting Company, a Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia, beginning at 2:01 p.m., when were present on behalf of the respective parties:

```
Page 2
 1
     On behalf of The Town of Wayland:
 2
           ADAM KAHN, ESQUIRE -- via teleconference
           REBECCA PUSKAS, ESQUIRE -- via teleconference
 3
           Foley Hoaq, L.L.P.
           155 Seaport Boulevard
           Boston, Massachusetts 02210
 4
            (617) 832-1000
 5
     On behalf of Thomas Arnold:
 6
           DEIRDRE MENOYO, ESQUIRE -- via telephonically
 7
           Attorney at Law
           388 Willis Road
           Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776
 8
           (978) 440-9690
 9
     On behalf of Department of Interior:
10
           ROBIN LEPORE, ESQUIRE -- via teleconference
11
           Office of the Regional Solicitor
           One Gateway Center, Suite 612
12
           Newton, Massachusetts 02458
           (617) 527-3400
13
     On behalf of Environmental Protection Agency:
14
           RONALD FEIN, ESQUIRE -- via teleconference
15
           EPA Region 1
           One Congress Street, Suite 1100
16
           Boston, Massachusetts 02114
           (617) 918-1040
17
     ALSO PRESENT:
18
           Eurika Durr, Clerk of the Board
19
           Nivea Berrios, Senior Counsel
           Bryan Pitt
20
           Anne Williams
           Carl Gerber
21
           Lynne Hamjian
22
```

	Page 3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MS. EURIKA: The United States
3	Environmental Protection Agency is now in session
4	for status conference, In re: Town of Wayland
5	Waste Water Management District Commission
6	Treatment Plant. Permit number MA0039853. NPDES
7	appeal numbers $08-26$ and $08-27$ . The Honorable
8	Charles Sheehan residing.
9	THE COURT: Good afternoon everyone. Let
10	me begin by asking counsel to identify themselves,
11	and let's go in the order of Mr. Arnold's counsel,
12	DOI's counsel, the Region's counsel, and any other
13	participants from the region.
14	MS. MENOYO: My name is Deirdre Menoyo.
15	I represent
16	THE COURT: We're only hearing about
17	every other word. Could you try to get closer
18	perhaps to the mike and see if that works.
19	MS. MENOYO: I'm here. I'm using an old
20	fashioned telephone, Your Honor, and I changed it.
21	Does this work better, Your Honor?
22	THE COURT: That's better. Thank you.

	Page 4
1	MS. MENOYO: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Could you repeat your
3	introduction?
4	MS. MENOYO: My name is Deirdre Menoyo,
5	M-E-N-O-Y-O. I represent petitioner, Tom Arnold.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. DOI?
7	MS. LEPORE: My name is Robin Lepore and
8	I represent the Department of the Interior and two
9	agencies within that, the United States Fish and
10	Wildlife Service and the National Park Service.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. The Region?
12	MR. FEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Ronald
13	Fein, regional counsel for Region 1. With me are
14	Bryan Pitt, who's the permit writer for this permit
15	and is a team leader within the Office of U.S.
16	Protection. Also with me are Anne Williams, senior
17	assistant regional counsel who is the practice
18	group leader for the water practice group of
19	regional counsel. To Ms. Williams' left is Carl
20	Gerber, regional counsel for Region 1, and to
21	Mr. Gerber's left is Lynne Hamjian, L-Y-N-N-E,
22	H-A-M-J-I-A-N, who's the acting deputy director of

	Page 5
1	the office which is the (unintelligible.)
2	THE COURT: Thank you. And the Town of
3	Wayland?
4	MR. KAHN: Good afternoon. My name is
5	Adam Kahn and with me is my colleague, Rebecca
6	Puskas.
7	THE COURT: And a couple of other
8	preliminaries. With me at the bench is Nivea
9	Berrios, our senior counsel. And also I'd like to
10	ask on behalf of the court reporter that before
11	people speak, they identify themselves so that the
12	record is clear on who's speaking.
13	In the Board's order of December 20th,
14	2008, what was requested was a fairly simple
15	statement of events to be filed by the Court on
16	April the 7th. That was to be a "detailed summary"
17	of three categories of information. Those
18	categories were "issues resolved, remaining issues,
19	and projected time frame for resolution or
20	litigation." What we received on April the 7th was
21	something I think otherwise. It was not to our
22	view anyhow a very detailed summary, and it didn't

	Page 6
1	really get into the three categories of information
2	that we had requested specific information on; the
3	result, the remaining issues, and the time frame.
4	So it's difficult for the Court to make an informed
5	assessment of the need for more time, more space
6	when the true status of the negotiations is still
7	somewhat unclear.
8	The petition was originally filed, the
9	first petition almost six months ago, so I think
10	probably it would be appropriate at this point to
11	go into some detail in this call about where
12	everything stands in negotiations so that the Board
13	can decide the next steps. So without further
14	ado and also one more point. I understand from
15	group one in the status report that Mass DEP might
16	also be required to settle, so I'd like to hear
17	people as we proceed here talk about the role of
18	DEP, if any, in further proceedings here.
19	So perhaps with that beginning I will ask
20	Region 1, Mr. Fein, if he can take the lead on this
21	please to tell us what issues have been resolved,
22	if any, which remain, and make it clear exactly

	Page 7
1	where things stand with some exactitude at this
2	time.
3	MR. FEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. This
4	is Mr. Fein. In response to Your Honor's question
5	the good news is that in the months since the
6	status report was filed, substantial progress has
7	been made as between EPA, the Town and the
8	Department of Interior, and issues that had not yet
9	been resolved at that point are now close to
10	resolution and some issues that remain are no
11	longer remaining.
12	I will give some detail on that in a
13	moment, but I should note that Mr. Arnold is not
14	yet in agreement on these points, but we do have a
15	plan for moving forward if we can't reach an
16	agreement with Mr. Arnold. With respect to the
17	issues, as Your Honor knows, this is a somewhat
18	complex petition in that we had two separate
19	petitioners, one of which is a federal agency, and
20	that's made a resolution more complex than
21	ordinarily would be. The good news is as of Monday
22	we now have a framework for agreeing with the

	Page 8
1	principal, which I've been authorized by both the
2	Town and Department of Interior to disclose.
3	The Town has offered and EPA and Interior
4	agreed to a settlement by which the Town would
5	agree to a mid-use modification that would impose a
6	more stringent phosphorus limit than the original
7	permit. The Town has also agreed to non-point
8	source reductions of phosphorous, and furthermore
9	the Town agreed to certain changes in the location
10	and design of a proposed waterfall that would be
11	acceptable to the Department of Interior. These
12	would need to be substantiated through documents
13	that have not yet been drafted, but the major
14	points have all been agreed to by EPA, Interior and
15	the Town.
16	As I said, Mr. Arnold has not yet agreed
17	to these, and although that's not something I'm
18	going to explain the basis for, the settlement
19	discussions have been complicated by the fact that
20	some of Mr. Arnold's settlement requests appear to
21	be on the scope of an in-use permit or in the EPA
22	case, authority under the habeas corpus. That

	Page 9
1	said, we would like to try to reach an agreement
2	that reaches all petitioners, not just the
3	Interior, and for that reason we have an EDR
4	neutral at the EPA's expense. We secured funding
5	from the Office of General Counsel for that person.
6	Our regional ADR coordinator has contacted all the
7	parties with proposed names and is beginning to
8	engage in that process, and we are hoping that in
9	one more month we will be able to either reach an
10	agreement that pleases Mr. Arnold or if we conclude
11	that such an agreement can't be reached.
12	With respect to time frame, it largely
13	depends on whether we're settling with just the
14	Town and Interior and not Mr. Arnold or whether
15	Mr. Arnold is also in agreement. At this point I
16	think it would be very difficult to forecast the
17	timeframe for resolution without knowing whether we
18	have an agreement with all petitioners or whether
19	we're going to be dealing with an appeal at the
20	same time that we're settling with some.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Let's go with
22	that in the direction to Ms. Menoyo. Could you

	Page 10
1	please address your view of the plan?
2	MS. MENOYO: Your Honor, Mr. Arnold's
3	concern has been with the release of phosphorous to
4	a degraded water and (unintelligible). We believe
5	that the limits that DOI has agreed to here, .1
6	year round, is not adequate to protect the river
7	and we know that it's possible to achieve much
8	lower levels of phosphorous. So we contest the
9	existence of the permit in the first place.
10	There is no the use of this permit,
11	who will be using this permit is now unknown. It
12	was for a big development that has now declared
13	itself to be not going to happen, so there's not a
14	clear need for this sarcasity (ph) of flow.
15	There should be an alternative analysis
16	to see if you need to put more phosphorous into the
17	river in any event. My position is that it will
18	preclude allowing this charge of phosphorous into
19	the river, but there are things my client would
20	accept. My client would accept a lower
21	phosphorous. For instance, in the Town of Concord
22	they have a contract, a manufacturer guarantee that

	Page 11
1	their treatment system can achieve from the same
2	river system of .05 milliliter per liter
3	phosphorous limit. I think that working for that
4	kind of limit would involve Mr. Arnold.
5	We also do think that the parties have it
6	within their power to arrange to set a TMBL for the
7	phosphorous in the system, and even if they feel
8	that he needs to be as a party to make that happen,
9	federal regulations demand that EPA set that limit
10	if the TMBL has not been created. The reduction of
11	phosphorous that the Town has proposed is not very
12	viable. They're proposing to use less phosphorous
13	dependent fertilizers, and my understanding is the
14	county (unintelligible), which has fertilizers
15	right now. We would want something more structural
16	that produce phosphorus.
17	So I'm saying that there is room for
18	negotiation on a limit. We would even be open to a
19	definite commitment to reach a lower limit and with
20	a schedule for reaching a PMZ for this.
21	THE COURT: Let me ask if I can, the
22	status report filed in early April referred to two

	Page 12
1	specific issues. Phosphorous and the out-call and
2	then there was a reference at the bottom of page
3	two I believe to "other issues." In this
4	conversation today we have heard about the
5	phosphorous issue and the out-call issue. Are
6	there other issues as determined was used in the
7	status report that haven't been mentioned in this
8	status call yet today that are also out there? Go
9	ahead.
10	MS. MENOYO: Your Honor, this is Deirdre
11	Menoyo. Mr. Arnold objected in the first place to
12	the issuance of a phos permit itself and nothing
13	has changed as to that. If we were able to achieve
14	movement in the issues that I just recommended for
15	the association, that would become less of a
16	problem, but as of our appeal end now, we think the
17	permit was wrongly issued in 1998 and in 2003 and
18	in 2008.
19	THE COURT: Let me ask for the Town of
20	Wayland, Mr. Kahn or Ms. Puskas, about your view of
21	how things stand and what the issues are and what
22	the status is at this point.

	Page 13
1	MR. KAHN: This is Adam Kahn. We went
2	with Mr. Fein's description of the settlement
3	principal. Perhaps in response to some of the
4	comments raised by Ms. Menoyo I could put it in
5	context. The Town's agreement is an approximately
6	85 percent reduction over currently allowed limits.
7	MS. MENOYO: Excuse me, Your Honor
8	THE COURT: Ms. Menoyo, please let
9	Mr. Kahn proceed.
10	MS. MENOYO: Sir, I can't hear him at
11	all. Are you characterizing my position?
12	THE COURT: Mr. Kahn, could you try
13	speaking maybe closer to the mike or do something.
14	MS. MENOYO: Or slowly.
15	MR. KAHN: I'm not exactly sure where
16	okay, the mike is above me. I know where we are
17	now. The Town agrees with Mr. Fein's
18	characterization of the settlement. The
19	phosphorous limit discussed and conceptually due to
20	by the Town, DOI and the EPA is the value requested
21	by the Department of Interior. It is an 85 percent
22	reduction from the existing balance. There is no

	Page 14
1	lower limit on any waste water treatment plant in
2	the river basin. It also allows a grand total of
3	16 pounds of phosphorous per year to be discharged
4	into the river. It may not be apparent from the
5	papers how small a plant this is. It's
6	approximately 50,000 gallons a day.
7	The difference in phosphorous between the
8	value that Ms. Menoyo suggested and the value
9	that's been reached by the other parties represents
10	an aggregate of 8 pounds of phosphorous per year.
11	This represents
12	THE COURT: Mr. Kahn, if I can interrupt.
13	I appreciate the detail. I'm not sure we need that
14	much on exactly what the specifics here are where
15	things stand for your position. Could you address
16	more generally perhaps the point made by Mr. Fein
17	about substantial profits being made and the Town
18	and DOI and Region 1 being generally close on the
19	issues, with Mr. Arnold perhaps not being so close,
20	and just perhaps the general overview of things in
21	a more accurate sort of way.
22	MR. KAHN: Okay, I apologize. I agree

Page 15 1 with Mr. Fein. We are in substantial agreement. 2 The parties held a conference call on Monday where 3 the effectual provisions of the settlement were 4 discussed and it is my belief that with the 5 exception of Mr. Arnold, the three other parties were in agreement as to those terms, and with one 7 additional month we should have an agreement that should be able to be signed by each of those 9 parties, assuming nothing unusual comes up and then 10 sort of hammering out the details of the agreement. 11 I don't expect that. 12 As for Mr. Arnold's position, I guess I'm 13 less optimistic in that the Town is not able to 14 agree to the request and in some cases it will be 15 the requests are outside of the scope of this 16 proceeding, but really we have a team that we could 17 measure. We are willing to explore through a 18 neutral recommended by Region 1 opportunities for 19 resolution, but I would suggest that we'll know one 20 way or another a month from now whether those 21 discussions are successful. And so the Town did 22 not join the motion for an extension a month ago

	Page 16
1	when it was filed because of some inconsistencies
2	about where things stand. At this stage I think
3	that inability is considerably reduced, such as the
4	Town is comfortable at this time agreeing with the
5	motion filed in April that an additional month of
6	extension would be appropriate if they find a
7	resolution.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	MR. KAHN: If it cannot
10	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Lepore, could
11	you please give us your assessment?
12	MS. LEPORE: Just as Mr. Fein and
13	Mr. Kahn had indicated, the Department of Interior
14	at this point is in substantial agreement with the
15	other two parties on the question of the .1
16	phosphorous limit and the concept of relocating the
17	pipeline at fault. That has been a major issue
18	with us. We had site visits to look at it and we
19	got more information from the Town's engineer about
20	approaches that could be used, if the PA could be
21	placed somewhere where it would not visible to
22	canoeist, recreationists and other kinds of

	Page 17
1	concerns there could be on the scenic river, and we
2	are probably completely okay, but we only had some
3	contaminants and probable information given to us
4	quite recently so we believe that that's not going
5	the present a technical issue. I suspect that I'm
6	not incorrectly representing that we think it's
7	addressable, but there still are some questions
8	remaining on that point, which we're going to work
9	out with EPA's technical people and the Town's
10	technical people.
11	The other issues that the Interior had
12	raised initially was the underlying question about
13	the validity of the permit and what we have worked
14	out is that we are not going to challenge that at
15	present. We will accept the 52,000 gallons a day,
16	but should the Town request permit level increases
17	above that, we reserve the right to raise what our
18	concerns were about improperly reactivating this
19	permit when it had lapsed and other sorts of
20	things, but essentially accepting the status quo is
21	something that the Department of Interior has
22	decided to not pursue unless the Town pursues

	Page 18
1	upholding that decree in the future.
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much. Back to
3	Mr. Kahn for a moment. Would you speak about the
4	role of your counter-party Mass DEP that was
5	alluded to in the status report just to get a sense
6	of whether or not that is a rock hard that needs to
7	be considered here?
8	MR. KAHN: Yes, Your Honor. I obviously
9	forgot to mention that when I spoke previously.
10	DEP co-issues the permits with EPA. In this case,
11	the petitioners filled the EPA permit but not the
12	DEP permit, which means that the DEP permit has to
13	in affect and the Town's term is subject to the DEP
14	permit, which is identical to the appeal permit
15	except only enforceable under state law. In order
16	to effectuate settlement, we need to modify the EPA
17	permit and the DEP permit as well.
18	A DEP surface water permit program
19	representative has attended all the settlement
20	discussions that we've had with the other parties
21	despite the fact that DEP's permit was not appealed
22	and we do not anticipate any difficulties in

Page 19 1 getting DEP to co-issue with us a permit 2 modification that would effectuate a settlement 3 that we reach with the Town and DOI, with or without Mr. Arnold. Thank you. Could you also, THE COURT: 6 while you have the floor, clarify when the next 7 meeting of all parties is planned, if one is planned. MR. KAHN: Your Honor, actually the most 10 recent conversation that we had with all parties 11 was just this past Monday at which we reached 12 settlement in principal that would discuss the 13 At this point we don't actually have the 14 next meeting scheduled, and part of that has been 15 because of the uncertainty of the schedule. 16 assume that we can get an extension of the 17 litigation time frame and we will endeavor to meet 18 in person as necessary or rapidly, with or without 19 the assistance of a neutral, to finalize the 20 documents and cross the T's between the EPA, the 21 Interior, and the Town and to attempt to bridge any 22 remaining gaps with Mr. Arnold, but we don't have a

	Page 20
1	meeting scheduled.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. Let me ask
3	pardon me?
4	MR. FEIN: I'm sorry, I said we will do
5	that quickly.
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	MS. LEPORE: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I do
8	have one other point.
9	THE COURT: Could you introduce yourself
10	for the record?
11	MS. LEPORE: Robin Lepore for DOI. In my
12	summation of the role of the state I didn't cover,
13	and that was amongst our original concerns in the
14	appeal, was having the rivers at the YMC (ph) River
15	be designated as an outstanding resource water and
16	to have a TMBL for the phosphorous impairment
17	issues, and we recognize that those are not
18	strictly within the gamut of the EPS permit action.
19	We were hoping that through this process there
20	might be a little more bigger on the part of the
21	state to be able to help forward some of those
22	actions, and we are working with them perhaps that

	Page 21
1	they also come out of the ADR, but as a formal
2	issue for the appeal, we recognize that that's not
3	part of the jurisdiction in this process.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. Back to Ms.
5	Menoyo for a moment. Can you hear me?
6	MS. MENOYO: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Could you tell us whether or
8	not if an extension is granted and there is time
9	for atleast one additional meeting with all the
10	parties, that would include Mr. Arnold?
11	MS. MENOYO: We would definitely meet
12	with all the parties.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else have
14	anything that they would wish to add that has not
15	been asked or said?
16	With that then the Board will issue an
17	order within the next few days in response to all
18	the input, the very useful input for which I thank
19	you for today. Obviously settlement is the outcome
20	that we wish. We hope that it's possible, but we
21	need to keep the docket moving and it looks like
22	settlement is not possible, but it certainly seems

<u>.</u>	Page 22
1	warranted that there be some additional time to try
2	to make that happen. One moment while I consult
3	with Ms. Berrios.
4	One additional question. Would the June
5	I believe 7th, early June deadline that's
6	requested, would that still work for the parties
7	given the present state of events? I'll begin with
8	Mr. Fein's view on that.
9	MR. FEIN: Yes, Your Honor, I believe it
10	was June 10th that we requested, but I would say
11	that June 10th is still a date that which we can
12	submit a status report. I know we could settle
13	with all the parties before that date in June.
14	THE COURT: Ms. Lepore, do you agree with
15	that?
16	MS. LEPORE: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Kahn?
18	MR. KAHN: I agree.
19	THE COURT: Ms. Menoyo?
20	MS. MENOYO: Well, we will know by then.
21	I think we could know by then.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you very

```
Page 23
      much. Unless anyone has anything further to add at
 1
      the last moment, I believe we are concluded and an
 2
      order will issue shortly. Thank you very much for
 3
      your time and help. We stand adjourned.
 4
                (Whereupon, at 2:26 p.m., the
 5
                teleconference hearing was concluded.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

Page 24 1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC 2 I, TERRI L. HAMILTON, the officer before whom 3 the foregoing proceedings were transcribed, do 4 hereby certify that the transcription of the 5 foregoing pages was taken by me in stenotypy and 6 thereafter reduced to typewriting; that said 7 transcription is a true record of the proceedings; 8 that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 9 employed by any of the parties to this action; and, 10 further, that I am not a relative or employee of 11 any counsel or attorney employed by the parties 12 hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in 13 the outcome of this action. 14 15 16 17 TERRI L. HAMILTON 18 Notary Public in and for the 19 District of Columbia 20 21 My commission expires: 22 May 15, 2014

		<del></del>	
<b>A</b>	alluded 18:5	В	13:18
able 9:9 12:13 15:8	alternative 10:15	Back 18:2 21:4	characterizing 13:11
15:13 20:21	analysis 10:15	balance 13:22	charge 10:18
accept 10:20,20	Anne 2:20 4:16	basin 14:2	Charles 1:12 3:8
17:15	anticipate 18:22	basis 8:18	clarify 19:6
acceptable 8:11	apologize 14:22	beginning 1:17 6:19	clear 5:12 6:22 10:14
accepting 17:20	apparent 14:4	9:7	Clerk 2:18
accurate 14:21	appeal 1:4 3:7 9:19	behalf 1:18 2:1,5,9	client 10:19,20
achieve 10:7 11:1	12:16 18:14 20:14	2:13 5:10	close 7:9 14:18,19
12:13	21:2	belief 15:4	closer 3:17 13:13
acting 4:22	appealed 18:21	believe 10:4 12:3	colleague 5:5
action 20:18 24:9,13	APPEALS 1:1	17:4 22:5,9 23:2	<b>Columbia</b> 1:17 24:19
actions 20:22	appear 8:20	bench 5:8	come 21:1
Adam 2:2 5:5 13:1	appreciate 14:13	Berrios 2:19 5:9 22:3	comes 15:9
add 21:14 23:1	approaches 16:20	better 3:21,22	comfortable 16:4
additional 15:7 16:5	appropriate 6:10	big 10:12	comments 13:4
21:9 22:1,4	16:6	bigger 20:20	commission 3:5
address 10:1 14:15	approximately 13:5	<b>Board</b> 1:1 2:18 6:12	24:21
addressable 17:7	14:6	21:16	commitment 11:19
adequate 10:6	<b>April</b> 5:16,20 11:22	Board's 5:13	Company 1:16
adjourned 23:4	16:5	<b>Boston</b> 2:4,16	completely 17:2
ado 6:14	<b>Arnold</b> 2:5 4:5 7:13	bottom 12:2	complex 7:18,20
ADR 9:6 21:1	7:16 8:16 9:10,14	Boulevard 2:3	complicated 8:19
affect 18:13	9:15 11:4 12:11	bridge 19:21	concept 16:16
afternoon 3:9 5:4	14:19 15:5 19:4,22	Bryan 2:19 4:14	conceptually 13:19
agencies 4:9	21:10		concern 10:3
agency 1:1,13 2:13	<b>Arnold's</b> 3:11 8:20	<u>C</u>	<b>concerns</b> 17:1,18
3:3 7:19	10:2 15:12	C 3:1	20:13
aggregate 14:10	arrange 11:6	call 6:11 12:8 15:2	conclude 9:10
ago 6:9 15:22	asked 21:15	canoeist 16:22	concluded 23:2,6
agree 8:5 14:22 15:14	asking 3:10	Capital 1:15	Concord 10:21
22:14,18	assessment 6:5 16:11	Carl 2:20 4:19	conference 3:4 15:2
agreed 8:4,7,9,14,16	assistance 19:19	case 8:22 18:10	Congress 2:15
10:5	assistant 4:17	cases 15:14	considerably 16:3
agreeing 7:22 16:4	association 12:15	categories 5:17,18	considered 18:7
agreement 7:14,16	assume 19:16	6:1	Constitution 1:14
9:1,10,11,15,18	assuming 15:9	Center 2:11	consult 22:2
13:5 15:1,6,7,10	atleast 21:9	certain 8:9	contacted 9:6
16:14	attempt 19:21	certainly 21:22	contaminants 17:3
agrees 13:17	attended 18:19	CERTIFICATE 24:1	contest 10:8
ahead 12:9	attorney 2:7 24:11	certify 24:4	context 13:5
allowed 13:6	authority 8:22	challenge 17:14	contract 10:22
allowing 10:18	authorized 8:1	<b>changed</b> 3:20 12:13	conversation 12:4
allows 14:2	Avenue 1:14	changes 8:9	19:10
		characterization	coordinator 9:6
		•	•

employee 24:10

endeavor 19:17

enforceable 18:15

corpus 8:22
counsel 2:19 3:10,11
3:12,12 4:13,17,19
4:20 5:9 9:5 24:8,1
counter-party 18:4
county 11:14
couple 5:7
court 3:9,16,22 4:2,6
4:11 5:2,7,10,15 6:4
9:21 11:21 12:19
13:8,12 14:12 16:8
16:10 18:2 19:5
20:2,6,9 21:4,7,13
22:14,17,19,22
<b>cover</b> 20:12
co-issue 19:1
co-issues 18:10
created 11:10
cross 19:20
currently 13:6
=

D **D** 3:1 date 19:13 22:11,13 day 14:6 17:15 days 21:17 deadline 22:5 dealing 9:19 December 5:13 decide 6:13 decided 17:22 declared 10:12 decree 18:1 definite 11:19 definitely 21:11 degraded 10:4 **Deirdre** 2:6 3:14 4:4 12:10 **demand** 11:9 **DEP** 6:15,18 18:4,10 18:12,12,13,17,18 19:1 Department 2:9 4:8

7:8 8:2,11 13:21

16:13 17:21

dependent 11:13 depends 9:13 **deputy** 4:22 **DEP's** 18:21 description 13:2 design 8:10 designated 20:15 despite 18:21 detail 6:11 7:12 14:13 **detailed** 5:16,22 details 15:10 determined 12:6 development 10:12 difference 14:7 difficult 6:4 9:16 difficulties 18:22 direction 9:22 director 4:22 discharged 14:3 disclose 8:2 discuss 19:12 discussed 13:19 15:4 discussions 8:19 15:21 18:20 **District** 1:17 3:5 24:19 docket 21:21 documents 8:12 19:20 **DOI** 4:6 10:5 13:20 14:18 19:3 20:11 **DOI's** 3:12 drafted 8:13 due 13:19 **Durr** 2:18 **D.C** 1:2,8,15  $\mathbf{E}$ E 3:1,1 early 11:22 22:5

**EDR** 9:3

either 9:9

effectual 15:3

**effectuate** 18:16 19:2

**employed** 24:9,11

engage 9:8 engineer 16:19 **Environmental** 1:1,1 1:13 2:13 3:3 **EPA** 2:15 7:7 8:3,14 8:21 11:9 13:20 18:10,11,16 19:20 EPA's 9:4 17:9 **EPS** 20:18 **ESQUIRE** 2:2,2,6,10 2:14 essentially 17:20 Eurika 2:18 3:2 event 10:17 events 5:15 22:7 exactitude 7:1 exactly 6:22 13:15 14:14 exception 15:5 Excuse 13:7 existence 10:9 existing 13:22 **expect** 15:11 expense 9:4 expires 24:21 explain 8:18 explore 15:17 extension 15:22 16:6 19:16 21:8 fact 8:19 18:21 fairly 5:14 fashioned 3:20 fault 16:17 federal 7:19 11:9 feel 11:7 Fein 2:14 4:12,13 6:20 7:3,4 14:16 15:1 16:12 20:4

fertilizers 11:13,14 filed 5:15 6:8 7:6 11:22 16:1.5 filled 18:11 finalize 19:19 financially 24:12 find 16:6 first 6:9 10:9 12:11 Fish 4:9 **floor** 19:6 flow 10:14 Foley 2:3 following 1:11 forecast 9:16 foregoing 24:3,5 forgot 18:9 formal 21:1 forward 7:15 20:21 frame 5:19 6:3 9:12 19:17 framework 7:22 funding 9:4 further 6:13,18 23:1 24:10 furthermore 8:8 future 18:1  $\mathbf{G}$ G3:1gallons 14:6 17:15

G
G3:1
gallons 14:6 17:15
gamut 20:18
gaps 19:22
Gateway 2:11
general 9:5 14:20
generally 14:16,18
Gerber 2:20 4:20
Gerber's 4:21
getting 19:1
give 7:12 16:11
given 17:3 22:7
go 3:11 6:11 9:21
12:8
going 8:18 9:19 10:13
17:4,8,14
good 3:9 5:4 7:5,21

22:9

Fein's 13:2,17 22:8

		-	
grand 14:2	6:2 16:19 17:3	L 1:15 24:2,17	10:2 12:10,11 13:4
granted 21:8	informed 6:4	lapsed 17:19	13:7,8,10,14 14:8
group 4:18,18 6:15	initially 17:12	largely 9:12	21:5,6,11 22:19,20
guarantee 10:22	input 21:18,18	law 2:7 18:15	mention 18:9
guess 15:12	instance 10:21	lead 6:20	mentioned 12:7
	interested 24:12	leader 4:15,18	mid-use 8:5
<u> </u>	Interior 2:9 4:8 7:8	left 4:19,21	mike 3:18 13:13,16
habeas 8:22	8:2,3,11,14 9:3,14	Lepore 2:10 4:7,7	milliliter 11:2
<b>Hamilton</b> 1:15 24:2	13:21 16:13 17:11	16:10,12 20:7,11,11	modification 8:5 19:2
24:17	17:21 19:21	22:14,16	modify 18:16
<b>Hamjian</b> 2:21 4:21	interrupt 14:12	let's 3:11 9:21	moment 7:13 18:3
hammering 15:10	introduce 20:9	level 17:16	21:5 22:2 23:2
happen 10:13 11:8	introduction 4:3	levels 10:8	Monday 7:21 15:2
22:2	involve 11:4	limit 8:6 11:3,4,9,18	19:11
hard 18:6	in-use 8:21	11:19 13:19 14:1	month 9:9 15:7,20,22
hear 6:16 13:10 21:5	issuance 12:12	16:16	16:5
heard 12:4	issue 12:5,5 16:17	limits 10:5 13:6	months 6:9 7:5
hearing 3:16 23:6	17:5 21:2,16 23:3	liter 11:2	motion 15:22 16:5
held 1:11 15:2	issued 12:17	litigation 5:20 19:17	movement 12:14
help 20:21 23:4	issues 5:18,18 6:3,21	little 20:20	moving 7:15 21:21
hereto 24:12	7:8,10,17 12:1,3,6	location 8:9	M-E-N-O-Y-O 4:5
Hoag 2:3	12:14,21 14:19	longer 7:11	11 - 1 1 - 10 1 1 1 1 1 M 1 1 1 M 1 1 1 M 1 1 M 1 M
Honor 3:20,21 4:12	17:11 20:17	look 16:18	N
7:3,17 10:2 12:10		looks 21:21	N 3:1
13:7 18:8 19:9 20:7	J	lower 10:8,20 11:19	name 3:14 4:4,7 5:4
21:6 22:9,16	join 15:22	14:1	names 9:7
Honorable 1:12 3:7	Judge 1:12	Lynne 2:21 4:21	National 4:10
Honor's 7:4	<b>June</b> 22:4,5,10,11,13	L-Y-N-N-E 4:21	necessary 19:18
hope 21:20	jurisdiction 21:3	L.L.P 2:3	need 6:5 8:12 10:14
<b>hoping</b> 9:8 20:19	T 7		10:16 14:13 18:16
H-A-M-J-I-A-N 4:22	K	<u>M</u>	21:21
T	Kahn 2:2 5:4,5 12:20	major 8:13 16:17	needs 11:8 18:6
I	13:1,1,9,12,15	Management 1:5 3:5	negotiation 11:18
identical 18:14	14:12,22 16:9,13	manufacturer 10:22	negotiations 6:6,12
identify 3:10 5:11	18:3,8 19:9 22:17	Mass 6:15 18:4	neither 24:8
impairment 20:16	22:18	Massachusetts 2:4,8	neutral 9:4 15:18
impose 8:5	keep 21:21	2:12,16	19:19
improperly 17:18	kind 11:4	<b>MA0039853</b> 1:6 3:6	news 7:5,21
inability 16:3	kinds 16:22	means 18:12	Newton 2:12
include 21:10	know 10:7 13:16	measure 15:17	Nivea 2:19 5:8
inconsistencies 16:1	15:19 22:12,20,21	meet 19:17 21:11	non-point 8:7
incorrectly 17:6	knowing 9:17	meeting 19:7,14 20:1	Northwest 1:14
increases 17:16	knows 7:17	21:9	Nos 1:5
indicated 16:13	L	<b>Menoyo</b> 2:6 3:14,14	<b>Notary</b> 1:16 24:1,18
information 5:17 6:1		3:19 4:1,4,4 9:22	note 7:13

notice 1:12	party 11:8	21:22	quite 17:4
<b>NPDES</b> 1:4 3:6	<b>people</b> 5:11 6:17 17:9	pounds 14:3,10	quo 17:20
number 3:6	17:10	power 11:6	
numbers 3:7	percent 13:6,21	practice 4:17,18	<u>R</u>
	permit 1:6 3:6 4:14	preclude 10:18	R 3:1
0	4:14 8:7,21 10:9,10	preliminaries 5:8	raise 17:17
<b>O</b> 3:1	10:11 12:12,17	present 1:18 2:17	raised 13:4 17:12
objected 12:11	17:13,16,19 18:11	17:5,15 22:7	rapidly 19:18
<b>obviously</b> 18:8 21:19	18:12,12,14,14,17	previously 18:9	reach 7:15 9:1,9
offered 8:3	18:17,18,21 19:1	principal 8:1 13:3	11:19 19:3
office 2:11 4:15 5:1	20:18	19:12	reached 9:11 14:9
9:5	permits 18:10	probable 17:3	19:11
officer 24:2	person 9:5 19:18	probably 6:10 17:2	reaches 9:2
okay 4:1 13:16 14:22	<b>petition</b> 6:8,9 7:18	problem 12:16	reaching 11:20
17:2 21:13 22:22	petitioner 4:5	proceed 6:17 13:9	reactivating 17:18
<b>old</b> 3:19	petitioners 7:19 9:2	proceeding 15:16	really 6:1 15:16
open 11:18	9:18 18:11	proceedings 6:18	reason 9:3
opportunities 15:18	<b>ph</b> 10:14 20:14	24:3,7	<b>Rebecca</b> 2:2 5:5
optimistic 15:13	phos 12:12	process 9:8 20:19	received 5:20
order 3:11 5:13 18:15	phosphorous 8:8	21:3	recognize 20:17 21:2
21:17 23:3	10:3,8,16,18,21	produce 11:16	recommended 12:14
ordinarily 7:21	11:3,7,11,12 12:1,5	profits 14:17	15:18
original 8:6 20:13	13:19 14:3,7,10	program 18:18	record 5:12 20:10
originally 6:8	16:16 20:16	progress 7:6	24:7
outcome 21:19 24:13	phosphorus 8:6	projected 5:19	recreationists 16:22
outside 15:15	11:16	<b>proposed</b> 8:10 9:7	reduced 16:3 24:6
outstanding 20:15	pipeline 16:17	11:11	reduction 11:10 13:6
out-call 12:1,5	Pitt 2:19 4:14	proposing 11:12	13:22
overview 14:20	<b>place</b> 10:9 12:11	protect 10:6	reductions 8:8
<b>P</b>	placed 16:21	<b>Protection</b> 1:1,13	reference 12:2
P 3:1	<b>plan</b> 7:15 10:1	2:13 3:3 4:16	referred 11:22
PA 16:20	<b>planned</b> 19:7,8	provisions 15:3	region 2:15 3:13 4:11
page 12:2	<b>plant</b> 3:6 14:1,5	<b>Public</b> 1:16 24:1,18	4:13,20 6:20 14:18
pages 24:5	please 6:21 10:1 13:8	pursuant 1:11	15:18
papers 14:5	16:11	pursue 17:22	regional 2:11 4:13,17
pardon 20:3	pleases 9:10	pursues 17:22	4:19,20 9:6
Park 4:10	<b>PMZ</b> 11:20	<b>Puskas</b> 2:2 5:6 12:20	Region's 3:12
part 19:14 20:20 21:3	point 6:10,14 7:9	<b>put</b> 10:16 13:4	regulations 11:9
participants 3:13	9:15 12:22 14:16	<b>p.m</b> 1:17 23:5	related 24:8
parties 1:18 9:7 11:5	16:14 17:8 19:13	•	relative 24:10
14:9 15:2,5,9 16:15	20:8	Q	release 10:3
18:20 19:7,10 21:10	points 7:14 8:14	question 7:4 16:15	relocating 16:16
21:12 22:6,13 24:9	position 10:17 13:11	17:12 22:4	remain 6:22 7:10
24:11	14:15 15:12	questions 17:7	remaining 5:18 6:3
	possible 10:7 21:20	quickly 20:5	7:11 17:8 19:22

		<del></del>	
repeat 4:2	scheduled 19:14 20:1	States 1:1 3:2 4:9	things 7:1 10:19
report 6:15 7:6 11:22	scope 8:21 15:15	status 3:4 6:6,15 7:6	12:21 14:15,20 16:2
12:7 18:5 22:12	Seaport 2:3	11:22 12:7,8,22	17:20
reporter 5:10	secured 9:4	17:20 18:5 22:12	think 5:21 6:9 9:16
Reporting 1:16	see 3:18 10:16	stenotypy 24:5	11:3,5 12:16 16:2
represent 3:15 4:5,8	senior 2:19 4:16 5:9	steps 6:13	17:6 22:21
representative 18:19	sense 18:5	Street 2:15	Thomas 2:5
representing 17:6	separate 7:18	strictly 20:18	three 5:17 6:1 15:5
represents 14:9,11	Service 4:10,10	stringent 8:6	time 5:19 6:3,5 7:2
request 15:14 17:16	session 3:3	structural 11:15	9:12,20 16:4 19:17
requested 5:14 6:2	set 11:6,9	subject 18:13	21:8 22:1 23:4
13:20 22:6,10	settle 6:16 22:12	<b>submit</b> 22:12	timeframe 9:17
requests 8:20 15:15	settlement 8:4,18,20	substantial 7:6 14:17	<b>TMBL</b> 11:6,10 20:16
required 6:16	13:2,18 15:3 18:16	15:1 16:14	today 12:4,8 21:19
reserve 17:17	18:19 19:2,12 21:19	substantiated 8:12	Tom 4:5
residing 3:8	21:22	successful 15:21	total 14:2
<b>resolution</b> 5:19 7:10	settling 9:13,20	Sudbury 2:8	<b>Town</b> 1:4 2:1 3:4 5:2
7:20 9:17 15:19	<b>Sheehan</b> 1:12 3:8	suggest 15:19	7:7 8:2,3,4,7,9,15
16:7	shortly 23:3	suggested 14:8	9:14 10:21 11:11
resolved 5:18 6:21	signed 15:8	<b>Suite</b> 2:11,15	12:19 13:17,20
7:9	simple 5:14	summary 5:16,22	14:17 15:13,21 16:4
resource 20:15	Sir 13:10	summation 20:12	17:16,22 19:3,21
respect 7:16 9:12	site 16:18	sure 13:15 14:13	Town's 13:5 16:19
respective 1:18	six 6:9	surface 18:18	17:9 18:13
response 7:4 13:3	<b>slowly</b> 13:14	suspect 17:5	transcribed 24:3
21:17	<b>small</b> 14:5	system 11:1,2,7	transcription 24:4,7
result 6:3	Solicitor 2:11	т	treatment 3:6 11:1
right 11:15 17:17	somewhat 6:7 7:17	T	14:1
river 10:6,17,19 11:2	sorry 20:4,7	take 6:20	true 6:6 24:7
14:2,4 17:1 20:14	sort 14:21 15:10	taken 24:5 talk 6:17	try 3:17 9:1 13:12
rivers 20:14	sorts 17:19	team 4:15 15:16	22:1
<b>Road</b> 2:7 <b>Robin</b> 2:10 4:7 20:11	source 8:8	technical 17:5,9,10	two 4:8 7:18 11:22
rock 18:6	space 6:5	teleconference 1:11	12:3 16:15
role 6:17 18:4 20:12	speak 5:11 18:3 speaking 5:12 13:13	2:2,2,10,14 23:6	typewriting 24:6 T's 19:20
Ronald 2:14 4:12	specific 6:2 12:1	telephone 3:20	1 8 19.20
room 11:17	specifics 14:14	telephonically 2:6	U
round 10:6	spoke 18:9	tell 6:21 21:7	uncertainty 19:15
	stage 16:2	term 18:13	unclear 6:7
S	stand 7:1 12:21 14:15	terms 15:6	underlying 17:12
S 3:1	16:2 23:4	Terri 1:15 24:2,17	understand 6:14
sarcasity 10:14	stands 6:12	thank 3:22 4:6,11,12	understanding 11:13
saying 11:17	state 18:15 20:12,21	5:2 7:3 9:21 16:8,10	unintelligible 5:1
scenic 17:1	22:7	18:2 19:5 20:2,6	10:4 11:14
schedule 11:20 19:15	statement 5:15	21:4,18 22:22 23:3	<b>United</b> 1:1 3:2 4:9

			Page
unknown 10:11	writer 4:14	<b>52,000</b> 17:15	
unusual 15:9	wrongly 12:17	<b>527-3400</b> 2:12	
upholding 18:1			
use 10:10 11:12	<u>Y</u>	6	
<b>useful</b> 21:18	year 10:6 14:3,10	<b>6</b> 1:9	
<b>U.S</b> 1:13 4:15	<b>YMC</b> 20:14	612 2:11	
<b>T</b> 7	0	<b>617</b> 2:4,12,16	
<u>V</u>	017762:8	7	
validity 17:13	<b>02114</b> 2:16	***************************************	
<b>value</b> 13:20 14:8,8 <b>viable</b> 11:12	02114 2.10	7th 5:16,20 22:5	
view 5:22 10:1 12:20	<b>02210</b> 2.4 <b>02458</b> 2:12	8	
22:8	<b>05</b> 11:2	8 14:10	
visible 16:21	<b>08-26</b> 1:5 3:7	832-1000 2:4	
visits 16:18	<b>08-27</b> 1:5 3:7	<b>85</b> 13:6,21	
VISIO IU.IU			
W	1	9	
want 11:15	12:15 4:13,20 6:20	918-1040 2:16	
warranted 22:1	10:5 14:18 15:18	<b>978</b> 2:8	
<b>Washington</b> 1:2,8,14	16:15		
waste 3:5 14:1	10th 22:10,11		
Wastewater 1:5	1100 2:15		
water 3:5 4:18 10:4	1201 1:14		
14:1 18:18 20:15	<b>15</b> 24:22		
waterfall 8:10	<b>155</b> 2:3		
way 14:21 15:20	16 14:3		
Wayland 1:4 2:1 3:4	<b>1998</b> 12:17		
5:3 12:20	2		
Wednesday 1:9	<b>2:01</b> 1:17		
went 13:1 we'll 15:19	<b>2:26</b> 23:5		
we're 3:16 9:13,19,20	<b>20th</b> 5:13		
17:8	20004 1:15		
we've 18:20	<b>2003</b> 12:17		
Wildlife 4:10	<b>2008</b> 5:14 12:18		
Williams 2:20 4:16	<b>2009</b> 1:9		
4:19	<b>2014</b> 24:22		
willing 15:17			
Willis 2:7	3		
wish 21:14,20	388 2:7		
word 3:17	·		
work 3:21 17:8 22:6	440-9690 2:8		
worked 17:13			
working 11:3 20:22	5		
works 3:18	<b>50,000</b> 14:6		